



# Indigenous Knowledge and Sustainability in Traditional Craft: An Ecological Systems Analysis

JOCHEBED LEPCHA  
Research Scholar,  
Department of Social Work,  
Visva Bharati University, West Bengal, India

## Abstract:

*This paper examines the role of Indigenous Knowledge embedded in traditional craft practices in promoting sustainability across multiple ecological levels. Using Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory as an analytical framework, the study is based on secondary data from academic literature and reports.*

*The findings highlight that Indigenous Knowledge significantly contributes to sustainability at the micro, meso, exo, macro, and chrono levels. At the micro level, artisans employ ecological knowledge to ensure sustainable resource use. At the meso level, community networks facilitate intergenerational knowledge transfer and social cohesion. At the macro level, supportive policy frameworks and institutional recognition are crucial for sustaining these practices.*

*The study further demonstrates that the traditional craft of artisans is important for social-ecological resilience and the need to recognize indigenous artisans as key agents in achieving sustainable development.*

---

**Keywords:** *Indigenous knowledge, artisans, Ecological Systems theory, sustainable practices*

---

## 1. Introduction

This paper examines how Indigenous Knowledge embedded within traditional craft practices contributes to the maintenance of sustainability across micro, meso, and macro levels of ecological systems, as defined by Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory. This framework enables a multi-scalar analysis of how Indigenous knowledge systems inform sustainable practices, moving beyond conventional sustainability assessments by acknowledging the deep cultural and ecological interconnectedness inherent in Indigenous communities (Maturano et al., 2021). This exploration aims to bridge the gap between traditional ecological knowledge and contemporary sustainability, highlighting how indigenous artisans, through their craft, instantiate resilient social-ecological systems (Karmakar & Pal, 2024). Such an approach recognizes that Indigenous knowledge encompasses long-standing traditions, intricate understandings of sustainable practices, which are linked to cultural and environmental contexts (Ijatuyi et al., 2025). This localized wisdom, often transmitted intergenerationally through oral traditions, storytelling, and ceremonies, prioritizes ecological consciousness and community well-being over mere economic gain (Sharma et al., 2025).

## 2. Methodology

The paper is qualitative in nature. The paper is based on secondary data of reports and reviews. The literature was consulted from journals and databases such as Google Scholar, ResearchGate, Sage Journals, Springer Nature, and the official websites of the relevant journals. Additionally, resources from Academia.edu and official reports from international bodies, such as the United Nations Environment

Program, were extensively referenced to provide comprehensive insights into global trends and discourses (Bardy et al., 2018).

### 3. Literature Review

This section critically reviews extant literature on Indigenous Knowledge Systems and their interface with sustainability, particularly within the domain of traditional craftsmanship, to establish a theoretical foundation for the subsequent analysis. Indigenous knowledge is characterized by localized wisdom and intergenerational skill transfer, and by entrepreneurship that prioritizes socio-cultural resilience. (Aluko et al., 2025). For artisans involved in traditional craft, who typically operate within resource-dependent systems, this suggests that their specialized knowledge of materials and ecosystems is a primary driver of local conservation efforts (Pilgrim et al., 2008). These activities enhance traditional practices, knowledge transfer, and resource conservation. This directly aligns with the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration's emphasis on leveraging indigenous peoples' traditional knowledge for successful restoration and biodiversity protection (Santos et al., 2023). Traditional craft practices exemplify a form of entrepreneurship that integrates economic, cultural, and ecological dimensions. This model of indigenous entrepreneurship contributes to building resilient social-ecological systems by addressing both livelihood needs and environmental sustainability.

As discussed by Bajaña (2024) and McInnis-Bowers et al. (2017), such practices empower communities economically while preserving their cultural heritage. The alignment of these practices with global sustainability agendas further reinforces their relevance in addressing contemporary environmental challenges. Importantly, these systems reflect an interaction where humans are seen as part of nature rather than separate from it. This interconnected perspective enhances adaptive capacity and resilience in making indigenous craft systems for sustainable development. This integrated understanding of human-environment interactions promotes socio-ecological resilience. (Vidal et al., 2024).

### 4. Indigenous Knowledge as a Foundation for Micro-Level Sustainability

At the micro level, Indigenous Knowledge (IK) embedded within traditional craft practices functions as a critical driver of sustainable practices among artisans. These knowledge systems, developed through long-term interaction with local ecosystems, enable artisans to make environmentally conscious decisions regarding material selection, production techniques, and waste management. This localized knowledge is often transmitted through oral traditions and practices, reinforcing sustainable practices within the immediate family and community unit. Therefore, sustainability at the micro level is not merely technical but deeply embedded in values, traditions, and everyday practices. As highlighted by Pilgrim et al. (2008), artisans' intimate understanding of ecological processes ensures the sustainable use of natural resources, thereby contributing directly to conservation efforts.

### 5. Community Networks and Knowledge Transmission at the Meso Level

At the meso level, sustainability is reinforced through community structures, social networks, and collective practices that facilitate the sharing and preservation of Indigenous Knowledge. Traditional craft systems operate within communities where knowledge is passed through storytelling and collective participation. This communal approach aids intergenerational knowledge transfer, ensuring that intricate details of sustainable resource management and craft techniques are maintained across generations (Jarić et al., 2025). These practices enhance social cohesion and economic activities, ensuring the continuity of sustainable livelihoods.

### 6. Exo-Level: Indirect Institutional and Market Influences on Sustainability

At the exo level, sustainability within traditional craft systems is shaped by external structures and institutions that indirectly influence artisans' livelihoods and practices. Unlike the micro and meso levels, artisans may not directly participate in these systems; however, their decisions and opportunities are significantly affected by them. As Pilgrim et al. (2007) suggest, sustainability is not solely determined by individual skills but is shaped by economic policies and institutional environments that either support

or undermine traditional practices. Bansal et al. (2023) emphasize the need to integrate Indigenous Knowledge into formal decision-making processes, advocating stronger protections, equitable benefit-sharing mechanisms, and community empowerment. Legal scholars such as Ruth L. Okediji argue that intellectual property regimes must be restructured to accommodate the unique ways in which indigenous communities innovate and commercialize their knowledge. Similarly, NGO-led training programs, interventions, and capacity-building initiatives often introduce new techniques and market linkages, which may enhance income but can also risk diluting traditional ecological knowledge if not implemented sensitively.

### **7. Policy, Governance, and Structural Influences at the Macro Level**

At the macro level, the sustainability of Indigenous Knowledge systems is significantly influenced by policy frameworks, legal protections, and institutional support. While artisans possess valuable ecological knowledge, their ability to sustain these practices depends on broader societal and cultural structures. These macro-level influences encompass national and international policies related to intellectual property rights, environmental conservation, and cultural heritage preservation, which can either safeguard or undermine traditional practices (Shafi et al., 2022). Such recognition elevates artisans from mere producers to knowledge holders and custodians of biodiversity, as also highlighted by Eglash et al. (2019).

### **8. Evolution of the Indigenous Knowledge System at the Chrono level**

At the chrono level, sustainability in traditional craft systems is understood through the dimension of time, focusing on how Indigenous Knowledge (IK) evolves, adapts, or erodes across generations. The chronosystem within Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory captures both historical continuity and contemporary transformations that influence indigenous practices. This temporal perspective is crucial for understanding the dynamic interplay between tradition and modernity, and how Indigenous communities navigate changes while preserving their cultural heritage and ecological wisdom (Mbelebele et al., 2024; Yang et al., 2022).

### **9. Conclusion**

By situating Indigenous Knowledge within Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, this paper demonstrates how sustainability operates across interconnected levels, from individual practices to global policies.

Thus, integrating Indigenous Knowledge into contemporary sustainability discourse is not only necessary but also transformative, offering pathways for more inclusive, culturally grounded, and ecologically sound development models. However, the study acknowledges that market pressures, and inadequate policy support increasingly threaten the survival of these systems. Recognizing indigenous artisans as knowledge holders and active contributors to sustainability can reshape contemporary development paradigms. Future research should focus on empirical validation and field-based studies to further strengthen the integration of Indigenous Knowledge into sustainable development practices.

### **References**

1. Aluko, H. A., Watson, A. A., Islam, N., Fernandes, F. A. P., Aluko, A. O., Ayertey, S., & Baig, M. M. (2025). Rethinking Business Practices: Harnessing Indigenous Knowledge Systems and Sustainable Strategies for Resilient Entrepreneurial Success. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.70306>
2. Bardy, R., Rubens, A., & Helen, A. A. (2018). Combining indigenous wisdom and academic knowledge to build a sustainable future: An example from rural Africa. *Journal of African Studies and Development*, 10(2), 8. <https://doi.org/10.5897/jasd2017.0481>
3. Jarić, I., Januchowski-Hartley, S., Mammola, S., Malumbres-Olarte, J., Lux, C., Crowley, S. L., Albert, B., Correia, R. A., Giannoulatou, I. D., Jeschke, J. M., Ladle, R. J., Markes, S., Mutiny, J., Pillai, A. K., Sbragaglia, V., Verissimo, D., & Roll, U. (2025). Bridging worlds: exploring

- synergies between the arts and biodiversity conservation [Review of Bridging worlds: exploring synergies between the arts and biodiversity conservation]. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 23(10). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.70012>
4. Karmakar, G., & Pal, P. (2024). Examining (in)justice, environmental activism, and indigenous knowledge systems in the Indian film *Kantara* (Mystical Forest). *Socio-Ecological Practice Research*, 6(2), 117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42532-024-00180-2>
  5. L., Ntlanga, S. S., Nontu, Y., & Gidi, L. S. (2024). Harmonizing Traditional Knowledge with Environmental Preservation: Sustainable Strategies for the Conservation of Indigenous Medicinal Plants (IMPs) and Their Implications for Economic Well-Being. *Sustainability*, 16(14), 5841. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16145841>
  6. Maturano, J. M., Serrano, L. A. G., García, J. A. C., Magos, M. A. G. de A., & Hersperger, A. M. (2021). An indicator-based sustainability assessment method for indigenous communities: a case study from Mexico. *Environment Development and Sustainability*, 24(3), 3293. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01567-x>
  7. Okediji, R. L. (2019). A tiered approach to rights in traditional knowledge. *Washburn Law*
  8. Pilgrim, S., Cullen-Unsworth, L. C., Smith, D. J., & Pretty, J. (2008). Ecological Knowledge is Lost in Wealthier Communities and Countries. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42(4), 1004. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es070837v>
  9. Santos, L. D. V., Holanda, F. S. R., Menezes, P. V. B. de, Oliveira, C. V. de S., Villwock, A. P. S., & Pedrotti, A. (2023). New connections between brand and environmentally sustainable businesses in the Amazon forest and local business owners' perception. *Sustainable Earth Reviews*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42055-023-00055-6>
  10. Shafi, M., Szopik-Depczyńska, K., Cheba, K., Ciliberto, C., Depczyński, R., & Ioppolo, G. (2022). INNOVATION IN TRADITIONAL HANDICRAFT COMPANIES TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT. A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 28(6), 1589. <https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2022.17085>
  11. Sharma, N., Trivedi, M., & Choudhary, M. A. (2025). From tradition to transform: SDGs and the role of the Indian knowledge system in India's growth through ESE (Environment, Social, and Economic) factors. *Discover Sustainability*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-01905-3>
  12. Swiderska, K., 2006. Banishing the biopirates: a new approach to protecting traditional knowledge. Gatekeeper series IIED
  13. Vidal, D. G., Alvés, F., Valentim, C. S., & Freitas, H. (2024). Natures instead of nature—plural perceptions and representations of nature and its challenges for ecological transition: a systematic review of the scientific production [Review of Natures instead of nature—plural perceptions and representations of nature and its challenges for ecological transition: a systematic review of the scientific production]. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 36(1). Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-024-00934-5>
  14. Yang, N., Zang, X., & Chen, C. (2022). Inheritance Patterns under Cultural Ecology Theory for the Sustainable Development of Traditional Handicrafts. *Sustainability*, 14(22), 14719. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142214719>