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Abstract:
Self-concept (also called self-construction, self-identity or self-perspective) is a multi-dimensional construct that refers to an individual's perception of "self" in relation to any number of characteristics, such as academics (and non academics), gender roles and sexuality, racial identity, and many others. Each of these characteristics is a research domain (i.e. Academic Self-Concept) within the larger spectrum of self-concept although no characteristics exist in isolation as one's self-concept is a collection of beliefs about oneself. While closely related with self-concept clarity (which "refers to the extent to which self-knowledge is clearly and confidently defined, internally consistent, and temporally stable"), it presupposes but is distinguishable from self-awareness, which is simply an individual's awareness of their self. It is also more general than self-esteem, which is a function of the purely evaluative element of the self-concept. The self-concept is an internal model which comprises self-assessments. Features assessed include but are not limited to: personality, skills and abilities, occupation(s) and hobbies, physical characteristics, etc. For example, the statement "I am lazy" is a self-assessment that contributes to the self-concept. However, the statement "I am tired" would not be part of someone's self-concept, since being tired is a temporary state and a more objective judgment. A person's self-concept may change with time as reassessment occurs, which in extreme cases can lead to identity crises.

Another model of self-concept contains three parts: self-esteem, stability, and self-efficacy. Self-esteem is the "evaluative" component it is where one makes judgments about his or her self-worth. Stability refers to the organization and continuity of one's self-concept. Is it constantly in flux? Can singular, relatively trivial events drastically affect your self-esteem? The third element, self-efficacy, is best explained as self-confidence. It is specifically connected with one's abilities, unlike self-esteem. In this study the researcher studied about the interrelationship of self-concept of College Principals through certain variables.
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1. Introduction
Pragmatic View of Self: The self is a complex process of gaining self awareness. We develop a concept of who we are through our interactions with others. This view is expressed in pragmatic philosophy in the works of William James and George Herbert Mead, among others. Dramatism and Self-Presentation: We construct roles that we perform in the everyday drama of life and shape the image we choose to convey to others. The Humanistic view of Self: Drawing on perspectives of Abraham Maslow and Carl Rogers, this view of self emphasizes individual growth toward self-actualization.
Postmodern Self: Our sense of self is a relational view that is defined and negotiated in relational communities," Self-Esteem Esteem is the need to affirm self worth and gain confidence in interacting with others. This focus also draws on humanistic psychology.

2. Assessment of Self-Concept
There are even individual differences in the ability to form a self concept. Jane Loevinger[1966] has proposed that there is a measureable dimension of personality related to the ability to conceptualize one Self to assume distance from oneself, and to describe oneself precisely, and that this variable it self is dependent upone age, intelligence, education and socio economic status.

3. Definition of terms
Your self is your basic personality or nature, considered especially in term of what you are really like as a person or what you are really like at a particular time in your life. A concept is an idea or abstract principle which relates to particular subject or to particular view of that subject. Here, self-concept means what believe he\she (College principal) for himself.

4. Objectives of the Study
1. To study interrelationship among Rural and Urban area for Self-Concept of College Principal.
2. To study interrelationship among Woman and Man for Self-Concept of College Principal.
3. To study interrelationship among High and Low Self-Concept of College Principal for Job-Satisfaction.
4. To study interrelationship among Branch of Arts, Commerce and Science for Self-Concept of College Principal.

5. Variables of the Study

5.1 Independent Variables
a) Urban and Rural [Area]
b) Woman and Man [Sex]
c) Job-Satisfaction-High&Low [Level]
d) Arts, Commerce and Science [Branch]

5.2 Dependent Variable
a) Self-Concept of College Principal

6. Hypothesis
[i] There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept of College Principal of Rural and Urban area.
[ii] There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept of College Woman Principal and Man principal.
[iii] There is no significance difference between the mean of high self-concept of Job-Satisfaction of College Principal and low self-concept of Job- Satisfaction of College principal.
[iv] There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept of College Principal of Arts and Commerce.
[v] There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept of College Principal of Arts and Science.
[vi] There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept of College Principal of Commerce and Science.
7. Tools used for this Study
For the collection of data of study, the following tools were adapted by the researcher.
* Job-Satisfaction Questionnaire: This is tool developed by Dr.N.T. Chauhan.
* Self-Concept Scale: This is tool developed by Dr. Bina Shah

8. Sample of the Study
For the collection of the data following demographic sample were adapted by the researcher.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Sub variable</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area [A]</td>
<td>Rural A1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban A2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex [B]</td>
<td>Woman B1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Man B2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level [C]</td>
<td>High Self-Concept's J.S. C1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Self-Concept's J.S. C2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch [D]</td>
<td>Arts D1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commerce D2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science D3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Research Procedure
The investigator has seen the problem in education management and in specialty College Principal because in Principal have important role of learning process. The investigator has selected the sample from the Gujarat state's colleges principal. The investigator has get data by questionnaire and scale. The investigator has calculated the data as per research design and statistical method and technique. The investigator has tested all the null hypotheses and noted the conclusions.

10. Analysis of the data
Values of central tendency, dispersion and it's error of Self concept of College Principal and variables such as [A] Area; [B] Sex [C] Level [D] Branches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Branches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>196.83</td>
<td>191.76</td>
<td>190.5</td>
<td>194.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>21.95</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>16.51</td>
<td>23.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er.Std.</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er.sk</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er.ku</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Table no. 3 & Columnu no. 1-2 Indicates that the mean of self concept of College Principal of urban and rural area are 196.83 and 191.76. It indicates skewness value -0.46 and -0.76 also kurtosis value 0.20 and 0.93. So that, it can be said that the mean of Urban area is high. Also it can be said that the value of skeweness are minus and the kurtosis of urban is leptokurtosis but rural-kurtosis is platykurtosis.

- Table no. 3 & Columnu no. 3-4 indicates that the mean of self concept of College Principal for woman and man 190.54 and 194.54. So that, it can be said that the mean of man is high. It indicates skewness value -0.03 and -0.31 and kurtosis value 1.30 and 1.12. So also it can be said that the value of skeweness is minus and the kurtosis of woman is leptokurtosis, but the kurtosis is platykurtosis for man.

- Table no. 3 & Columnu no. 5-6 indicates that the mean of self concept of principal's job satisfaction 308.91 and 304.27. So that, it can be said that the mean of high self-concept of principal's job satisfaction is high. It indicates skewness value -2.64 and -3.77 and kurtosis value 17.46 and 20.66. Also it can be said that the both value of skeweness are minus and the both kurtosis are platykurtosis.

- Table no. 3 & Columnu no. 7, 8, 9 indicates that the mean of self concept of Principal of arts, commerce and science are 194.75,193.85 and 193.83. So that, it can be said that the mean of self concept of Principal of arts is high. It indicates skewness value -0.25, -0.61 and 1.67. also kurtosis value are -0.56, 0.03. and 5.71. Also it can be said that the value of skeweness of arts& commerce are minus but science is plus also the kurtosis of arts & science are platykurtosis but commerce is leptokurtosis.

11. Testing of Hypotheses

1. There is no significance difference between the mean of high self-concept of Job-Satisfaction and low self-concept of Job-Satisfaction of College principal.
2. There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept College Principal of Arts and Commerce.
3. There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept College Principal of Arts and Science.
4. There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept College Principal of Commerce and Science.

Table-4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Hypotheses</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Value f</th>
<th>Value P</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Acceptance of Hypotheses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept of College Principal of Rural and Urban area</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>There is no significance difference between the mean of self-concept of College Woman Principal and Man principal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.80</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Findings
1. The significant difference has been shown between the mean of self-concept of College Principal of rural and urban area.
2. The significance difference has been shown between the mean of self-concept of College woman Principal and man principal.
3. The significance difference has been shown significance difference between the mean of high self-concept of Job-Satisfaction of College Principal and low self-concept of Job-Satisfaction of College principal.
4. No significance difference has been shown between the mean of self-concept of College Principal of Arts and Commerce.
5. There is no significance difference has been shown between the mean of self-concept of College Principal of Arts and Science.
6. The significance difference has been shown between the mean of self-concept of College Principal of Commerce and Science.
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